POLYMELAMINE/GOLD NANOPARTICLE-MODIFIED CARBON PASTE ELECTRODE AS VOLTAMMETRIC SENSOR OF URIC ACID
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Abstract

Uric acid (UA), a vital biological substance, should be accurately detected in clinical monitoring and diagnosis. An electrochemical sensor was developed for UA determination based on polymelamine/gold nanoparticle-modified carbon paste electrode (AuNPs/PM/CPE). Carbon paste electrode (CPE) was made by mixing carbon and paraffin powder at a ratio of 7:3. PM/CPE was made using 1 mM melamine electropolymerisation in 0.1 M NaOH solution on the CPE surface with the cyclic voltammetry (CV) technique with a potential range of 0 to +1.6 V, and a scan rate of 100 mV/s. AuNPs/PM/CPE electrodes were made using Au electrodeposition on the PM/CPE surface using a 1 mM HAuCl4 solution containing 0.1 M Na2SO4. Electrodeposition was performed by CV in the potential range of -0.6 to +1.5 V, with a scan rate of 50 mV/s. The electrocatalytic activity towards UA was systematically studied by CV techniques on the surface of CPE, PM/CPE, AuNPs/CPE, and AuNPs/PM/CPE in phosphate-buffered solution (PBS) at pH 7 with the potential of 0 V to +1 V, and a scan rate of 100 mV/s. The effect of pH and the analysis of real samples using baby urine that had been diluted and spiked with UA were also studied. The results for bare CPE, and AuNPs/PM/CPE showed a 5-fold increase in anodic peak currents for UA. The optimum conditions were pH 5 (PBS 0.1 M) with the scan rate of 100 mV/s. Under this optimised condition, the modified electrode demonstrated high catalytic activity of UA oxidation. The differential pulse voltammetry (DPV) technique was used for quantitative analysis. The performance of AuNPs/PM/CPE electrodes has a linearity range, detection limit, sensitivity, precision, and accuracy of 0.1-11 µM, 0.0647 µM, 7.8592 µA/µM, 0.1107-0.3930%, and 82.45-107.23%, respectively. The results of the UA analysis in the baby urine show that the recovery of the disposed sample was 99.41 ± 0.06%, indicating that these electrodes have good accuracy.
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Asid urik (UA), bahan biologi penting, mesti dikesan dengan tepat dalam pemantauan dan diagnosis klinik. Penderia elektrokimia telah dibangunkan untuk pengesanan UA berdasarkan elektrod pes karbon terubahsuai nano partikel emas/polimelamin (AuNPs/PM/CPE). Elektrod pes karbon (CPE) disediakan dengan mencampurkan karbon dan serbuk parafin pada nisbah 7:3. PM/PCE dihasilkan menggunakan 1 mM elektropolimerisasi melamin dalam larutan 0.1 M NaOH pada permukaan CPE melalui teknik voltametri birkitar (CV) pada julat potensi 0 hingga +1.6 V dan kadar imbasan 100 mV/s. Elektrod AuNPs/PM/CPE dihasilkan menggunakan penegelektroendapan Au pada permukaan PM/CPE menggunakan larutan 1 mM HAuCl₄ yang mengandungi 0.1 M Na₂SO₄. Penegelektroendapan dilakukan menggunakan CV dalam julat potensi −0.6 hingga +1.5 V, dengan kadar imbasan 50 mV/s. Aktiviti elektropemangkinan UA dikaji secara sistematik menggunakan teknik CV pada permukaan CPE, PM/CPE, AuNPs/CPE, dan AuNPs/PM/CPE dalam larutan penimbal fosfat (PBS) pada pH 7 dengan julat potensi 0 hingga +1 V dan kadar imbasan 100 mV/s. Kesan pH dan analisis sampel sebenar menggunakan air kencing bayi yang dicairkan dan dicampur dengan UA juga dikaji. Keputusan bagi CPE terdedah dan AuNPs/PM/CPE menunjukkan peningkatan 5 kali ganda dalam arus puncak anodik bagi UA. Keadaan optimum adalah pada pH 5 (PBS 0.1 M) dengan kadar imbasan 100 mV/s. Pada keadaan optimum tersebut, elektrod terubah suai menunjukkan aktiviti pemangkinan pengoksidaan UA yang tinggi. Teknik voltametri showers the electrolysis, adsorption processes, electron transfer, and reaction mechanisms [20].

Modification of carbon paste electrodes (CPE) is very extensive in its use to increase its sensitivity, selectivity, and reproducibility. Various conductive polymers have been developed as voltammetry sensor modifiers [18-28]. In addition, electrode modification can also be done using gold nanoparticles (AuNPs). Gold nanoparticles have good electrocatalytic activity, conductivity, and biocompatibility for electrochemical applications, allowing them to be used as chemical and biochemical sensors [32, 33]. The combination of polymelamine (PM) with gold nanoparticles (AuNPs) on the surface of CPE has been used as a dopamine sensor (DA) [34], ascorbic acid sensor (AA) [35], and hydroquinone (HQ) [36], with all three compounds, provide different anodic peak potential (Epa). In this study, these electrodes were used as voltammetric UA sensors in the hope of obtaining different peak potentials from the two previous analytes, so that they are selective, sensitive, and accurate. The following Scheme 1 is the preparation of AuNPs/PM/CPE electrodes and their use as UA sensors. In Step 1, CPE is prepared from a mixture of carbon powder and 7:3 (w/w) solid paraffin. The surface involved are oxidation and reduction processes, surface adsorption processes, electron transfer, and reaction mechanisms [20].
of CPE is coated with PM using melamine (M) electropolymerisation by cyclic voltammetry (CV) with 0.1 M NaOH solution as supporting electrolyte, to form PM/CPE. In the final step, AuNPs are coated on the surface of PM/CPE with sodium sulphate (Na₂SO₄) and sulfuric acid (H₂SO₄) as an electrolyte supporting material by electrodeposition of HAuCl₄ solution, so that AuNPs/PM/CPE will be formed. In Stage 2, AuNPs/PM/CPE electrodes are used as UA sensors, in both CV and differential pulse voltammetry (DPV).

**Materials and Methods**

**Materials and instrumentation**

The main materials used in the study were carbon (obtained from the Bogor Research Centre for Forest Products, Indonesia), uric acid (Fluka), melamine (Aldrich), and tetrachloroauric acid (HAuCl₄; obtained by dissolving 0.1 g of 24-carat pure gold in aqua regia, then diluted with ultra-high water to obtain the desired concentration). Other chemicals were sodium hydroxide (NaOH, Merck), sulfuric acid (H₂SO₄, Merck), sodium sulphate (Na₂SO₄, Merck), and phosphate-buffered solution (PBS, pH 3-9) made from a mixture of phosphoric acid (H₃PO₄), sodium dihydrogen phosphate (NaH₂PO₄), and disodium hydrogen phosphate (Na₂HPO₄) solution. These materials have a degree of pro-analysis purity (p.a.). The water used was ultra-high pressure (UHP) water.

The main instrument used in this study was a set of Edaq e-corder 201 (Model ed 201) potentiostats with Echem software version 1.5 and equipped with three electrodes, namely working electrodes AuNPs/PM/CPE, Ag/AgCl as reference electrodes, and platinum wire as auxiliary electrodes.

**Preparation of AuNPs/PM/CPE electrode**

Carbon paste electrode (CPE) is made by mixing carbon powder and solid paraffin with a ratio of 7:3. These electrodes were activated by cleaning the surface using CV in the potential range of 0 to 1.0 V by 30 cycles, with a scan rate of 100 mV/s using a 0.5 M H₂SO₄ solution.

PM/CPE was made using 1 mM melamine electropolymerisation in 0.1 M NaOH solution on the CPE surface with CV technique of 0 to +1.6 V, a scan rate of 100 mV/s in 20 cycles [1].

AuNPs/PM/CPE electrodes were made using Au electrodeposition on the PM/CPE surface using a 1 mM HAuCl₄ solution containing 0.1 M Na₂SO₄. Electrodeposition was performed by CV in the potential range of -0.6 to +1.5 V, with a scan rate of 50 mV/s, in as many as 12 cycles. Furthermore, the surface of the AuNPs/PM/CPE was rinsed using ultra-high pressure (UHP) water and dried at room temperature [2].

**Voltammetric analysis of UA by using AuNPs/PM/CPE**

Qualitative analysis of UA was determined by CV technique using 3 μM UA solution in PBS pH 7 at a potential of 0 V to + 1 V, with a scan rate of 100 mV/s. Quantitative analysis was carried out by DPV technique with variations in UA concentration in the potential range of +0.1 V to +0.7 V and scan rate of 100 mV/s.

Scheme 1. Proposed electropolymerisation of melamine and electrodeposition of AuNPs on the surface of CPE as UA sensor
Results and Discussion

Cyclic voltammogram of UA
Figure 1 shows a cyclic voltammogram of a 3 µM UA solution that has higher anodic peak currents (Ipa) than a cathodic peak, indicating that UA is easier to undergo an oxidation reaction than a reduction. The Ipa are 3.292, 9.134, 10.491, and 15.041 µA on the surface of CPE electrodes, PM/CPE, and AuNPs/PM/CPE, respectively. This shows that the modification of electrodes can improve catalytic activity. The highest UA current peak is obtained using AuNPs/PM/CPE electrodes with increasing current approximately five times greater than the CPE electrode.

AuNPs/PM/CPE electrodes provide the highest current response due to the synergistic effect of PM, which is rich in amine groups and AuNPs with high surface area and excellent catalytic activity[3]. PM can prevent aggregation of gold nanoparticles because N atoms surround gold nanoparticles; therefore, avoiding gold aggregation process [4].

The effect of pH was studied using PBS with a concentration of 0.1 M, which varied with a pH range of 3 to 9. Besides assisting in maintaining the pH of the solution, buffer solution also functions as electrolyte support to reduce current migration, so that high peak current values will be obtained. The analysis was carried out using a scan rate of 100 mV/s and the potential range between 0 V to +1.0V. Figure 2 is a curve of the relationship between the pH of the solution and the value of anodic peak current (Ipa) UA. Based on Figures 2 and 3, the UA analysis at various pH has the optimum anodic peak value at pH 5.

Based on these images, the anodic peak currents increase to pH 5 and then decreased sharply at pH 6, before appearing to be flat towards pH 9. UA has the pKa of 5.4, in the pH range 3 to 4. At this point, UA is in the form of a neutral molecule that is difficult to be oxidised, causing a small peak current. Whereas at pH 5, this value is almost close to the pKa value, so the character of UA at this pH will increase, whereby it is easier to experience a redox reaction. Decreasing peak currents occurs in the pH range 6 to 9, where UA will be in the form of a salt (anionic), and more challenging to experience an oxidation reaction. Hence, the decrease of the peak current value [5]. Figure 4 is a UA redox reaction that is influenced by the pH of the solution.

Differential pulse voltammogram of UA
Quantitative analysis was carried out by varying dopamine concentrations from 0.1 to 11 µM in PBS pH 5 and the scan rates of 100 mV s⁻¹. The DPV UA voltammogram at various concentrations can be seen in Figure 5, while the linear curve is shown in Figure 6. Based on the curve of the relationship between concentration and anodic peak current in the UA analysis, R² was obtained at 0.9998, which approached the value of 1. The concentration that gave linear relations was in the concentration range of 0.1 µM to 11 µM. The slope produced from the UA standard curve shows the sensitivity of the analysis method. Based on the standard curve obtained a slope value of 7.8592, which indicates that the sensitivity of the UA analysis used AuNPs/PM/CPE electrodes of 7.8952 µA/µM. The limit of detection (LOD) was calculated statistically through the regression equation from the standard curve obtained 0.0647 µM (6.5 × 10⁻⁸ M). Table 1 is a comparison of UA detection limits in some literature. AuNPs/PM/CPE electrodes in references [14, 16, 18, 19] have lower LOD. However, when compared to the electrodes in references [12, 15], and [17] which have lower LOD, AuNPs/PM/CPE electrodes have the advantage of being easy to produce, cheaper, and nontoxic.

Determination of method accuracy was carried out at a concentration of 0.1 µM to 11 µM with three replications of measurements. The error range permitted in analysing 1 µA was 80-110% [12], while the accuracy value obtained in the UA analysis in this study is 82.45-107.23%, indicating an accurate method.

Precision is determined as the coefficient of variation, with good accuracy being ≤2%. In this study, the precision values meet the acceptability requirements for concentrations of 0.1 µM and 11 µM at 22.6% and
11.3%. Based on the calculation results, the value of KV in the UA analysis was 0.1107-0.3930%, indicating that this method has a good precision value.

The analysis of real samples utilised the urine of 21-day-old infants. Urine samples were diluted 50 times using PBS pH 5 solution. The determination of UA was checked by adding UA compounds to ensure the concentration in urine as 1 µM, which is the minimum level in infants [13]. The results of the UA analysis in the baby urine are shown in Table 2, with the disposed sample recovery of 99.41 ± 0.06%. This shows that the AuNPs/PM/CPE electrode can be used as a sensor in the UA analysis of urine samples with good accuracy.

![Figure 1. Cyclic 3 μM UA voltammogram in the scan rate of 100 mV/s using various electrodes](image1.jpg)

![Figure 2. Cyclic voltammograms of 2 μM UA with pH variation using PBS with scan rate of 100 mV/s](image2.jpg)
Figure 3. Relationship curve between pH vs. Ipa UA cyclic voltammogram

Figure 4. Oxidation-reduction reaction of UA

Figure 5. Differential pulse voltammogram of UA in PBS pH 5 with the scan rate 100 mV/s

Figure 6. Calibration curve of UA from the DPV voltammogram
Table 1. Comparison of UA detection limit data in several literature

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Electrode</th>
<th>Limit of Detection (M)</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Diamond Film</td>
<td>$1.5 \times 10^{-8}$</td>
<td>[12]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poly(p-toluene sulfonic acid) Modified Electrode</td>
<td>$5.0 \times 10^{-7}$</td>
<td>[14]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIP/HMDE</td>
<td>$6.0 \times 10^{-10}$</td>
<td>[15]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poly(p- Aminobenzene Sulfonic Acid)-Modified Glassy Carbon Electrode</td>
<td>$5.0 \times 10^{-7}$</td>
<td>[16]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon paste/cobalt Schiff base composite electrode</td>
<td>$8.0 \times 10^{-9}$</td>
<td>[17]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe$_3$O$_4$/Graphene</td>
<td>$7 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
<td>[18]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPCEs</td>
<td>$1.9 \times 10^{-7}$</td>
<td>[19]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AuNPs/PM/CPE</td>
<td>$6.5 \times 10^{-8}$</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Analysis of UA in infant urine samples using AuNPs/PM/CPE electrodes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>UA Concentration Added (µM)</th>
<th>Concentration Obtained (µM)</th>
<th>Average Recovery (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urine</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.9947</td>
<td>99.41±0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.9934</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.9942</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conclusion**

Voltammetric analysis of UA has been developed using CPE modified with PM and AuNPs. The synergistic effect of PM, which is rich in amine groups and AuNPs with a high surface area and excellent catalytic activity, can increase the anodic peak current approximately five times greater than the bar electrode. These electrodes have excellent performance, including accuracy, precision, and detection limits. The results of the UA analysis in the baby urine showed that these electrodes have good accuracy. However, these electrodes still need to be improved for the analysis of UA samples in blood.
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